
| | | |
|------------|--|-------------------|
| Stream: | Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) | |
| RFC: | 9796 | |
| Category: | Standards Track | |
| Published: | May 2025 | |
| ISSN: | 2070-1721 | |
| Authors: | C. Wendt | J. Peterson |
| | <i>Somos</i> | <i>TransUnion</i> |

RFC 9796

SIP Call-Info Parameters for Rich Call Data

Abstract

This document specifies a usage of the SIP Call-Info header field that incorporates Rich Call Data (RCD) associated with the identity of the originating party in order to provide to the terminating party a description of the caller (including details about the reason for the session). RCD includes information about the caller beyond the telephone number (such as a calling name, logo, photo, or jCard object representing the caller), which can help the called party decide how to handle the session request.

This document defines three new parameters 'call-reason', 'verified', and 'integrity' for the SIP Call-Info header field and also a new token ("jcard") for the 'purpose' parameter of the Call-Info header field. It also provides guidance on the use of the Call-Info 'purpose' parameter token, "icon".

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9796>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 2. Terminology | 5 |
| 3. Overview | 5 |
| 4. A Call-Info Framework for Carrying Rich Call Data | 6 |
| 5. "jcard" Call-Info 'purpose' Token | 7 |
| 6. 'call-reason' Call-Info Parameter | 9 |
| 7. 'verified' Call-Info Parameter | 10 |
| 8. 'integrity' Call-Info Parameter | 12 |
| 9. Usage and an Example of Call-Info for RCD | 14 |
| 10. Usage of jCard and Property-Specific Usage | 15 |
| 10.1. Usage of URIs in jCard | 15 |
| 10.2. Usage of Multimedia Data in jCard or with the "icon" Call-Info 'purpose' Token | 15 |
| 10.3. Cardinality | 16 |
| 10.4. Identification Properties | 17 |
| 10.4.1. "fn" Property | 17 |
| 10.4.2. "n" Property | 17 |
| 10.4.3. "nickname" Property | 17 |
| 10.4.4. "photo" Property | 18 |
| 10.5. Delivery Addressing Properties | 18 |
| 10.5.1. "adr" Property | 18 |
| 10.6. Communications Properties | 19 |
| 10.6.1. "tel" Property | 19 |
| 10.6.2. "email" Property | 19 |
| 10.6.3. "lang" Property | 20 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 10.7. Geographical Properties | 20 |
| 10.7.1. "tz" Property | 20 |
| 10.7.2. "geo" Property | 20 |
| 10.8. Organizational Properties | 21 |
| 10.8.1. "title" Property | 21 |
| 10.8.2. "role" Property | 21 |
| 10.8.3. "logo" Property | 21 |
| 10.8.4. "org" Property | 22 |
| 10.9. Explanatory Properties | 22 |
| 10.9.1. "categories" Property | 22 |
| 10.9.2. "note" Property | 22 |
| 10.9.3. "sound" Property | 23 |
| 10.9.4. "uid" Property | 23 |
| 10.9.5. "url" Property | 23 |
| 10.9.6. "version" Property | 24 |
| 11. Extension of jCard | 24 |
| 12. IANA Considerations | 24 |
| 12.1. "jcard" Purpose Parameter Value | 24 |
| 12.2. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'call-reason' Parameter | 24 |
| 12.3. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'verified' Parameter | 25 |
| 12.4. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'integrity' Parameter | 25 |
| 13. Security Considerations | 25 |
| 14. References | 26 |
| 14.1. Normative References | 26 |
| 14.2. Informative References | 28 |
| Acknowledgements | 28 |
| Authors' Addresses | 29 |

1. Introduction

Signaling protocols in telephone networks have long supported the delivery of a 'calling name' from the originating side to the terminating side; however, in practice, the terminating side is often left to derive a name from the calling-party number by consulting a local address book or an external database. SIP [RFC3261] similarly can carry a 'display-name' in the From header field value from the originating to terminating side, though it is a field that is not commonly trusted and is often replaced or ignored. The same can be considered true of information in the Call-Info header field in SIP.

This document defines usage of the SIP Call-Info header field [RFC3261] that allows called parties to receive a more comprehensive and extensible set of Rich Call Data (RCD) for incoming calls. It defines specific usage of the Call-Info header field, a new parameter ('call-reason'), and a new token ("jcard") for the 'purpose' parameter of the Call-Info header field. Depending on the policies of the communications system, a calling party could be either the end user device (e.g., a SIP user agent (UA)) or a network service as part of a telephone service provider. Similarly, a called party could be an end user device or the network telephone service provider acting on behalf of the recipient of the call.

In order to properly protect and communicate some of the authenticated and trusted properties of "rcd" claims defined in [RFC9795], this document defines two additional new parameters, 'verified' and 'integrity'. These parameters help protect RCD information that had been sent via a SIP network to, for example, a SIP entity on the edge of the Network-Network Interface (NNI) that contains a verification service as defined in [RFC8224] and further defined specific to RCD information in [RFC9795]. The verification procedures include the successful verification of the "rcd" claims and can be correspondingly represented in the Call-Info header field via these new parameters.

Used on its own, this specification assumes that the called party UA can trust the SIP network to assign, deliver, and protect the correct RCD information as an end-to-end security policy. However, as is true in many interconnected communications services, this end-to-end trust cannot be guaranteed. Therefore, the recommended approach is that the entity inserting the Call-Info header field should also sign the caller information via protocol tools defined by Secure Telephone Identity Revisited (STIR) [RFC7340] for SIP [RFC8224] and specifically through the use of RCD or the "rcd" PASSporT defined in [RFC9795].

Alternatively, this specification can be utilized in conjunction with the protocols defined in [RFC9795] as part of the communications signaling path, specifically in the trusted User-Network Interface (UNI) device interface at the terminating side as part of an authenticated, network-to-device, trusted signaling where a device may not have the ability to verify the "rcd" PASSporT, but it can receive the RCD information from the Call-Info header field as defined in this specification.

This specification provides an approach for the delivery of jCard data that utilizes the same mechanism as [\[RFC7852\]](#) which defined a means of carrying additional data about callers for the purposes of emergency services (especially Section 4.4 ([Owner/Subscriber Information](#)) of [\[RFC7852\]](#)). This document defines a 'purpose' parameter value "jcard" for the more generic delivery of information via jCard [\[RFC7095\]](#). This document borrows from [\[RFC7852\]](#) the capability to carry a data structure as a body, through the use of the "cid" URI scheme [\[RFC2392\]](#).

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [\[RFC2119\]](#) [\[RFC8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Overview

This document provides a framework for the use of Call-Info header field to carry RCD in SIP [\[RFC3261\]](#). The Call-Info header field (defined in [\[RFC3261\]](#), [Section 20.9](#)) defines a 'purpose' parameter. In addition to providing guidance on calling name practices and the use of the existing 'purpose' parameter token, "icon", this document expands on other types of RCD by defining a new 'purpose' token, "jcard", and three new parameters, 'call-reason', 'verified', and 'integrity' for the Call-Info header field to align with RCD as defined in the STIR framework [\[RFC8224\]](#) and with "rcd" PASSporTs defined in [\[RFC9795\]](#).

The 'purpose' parameter token "jcard" is used to associate RCD related to the identity of the calling party in the form of a jCard [\[RFC7095\]](#). While there is a "card" token defined in [\[RFC3261\]](#) which could be considered to have an overlapping purpose, the "jcard" token is intended to denote the jCard profile defined in this document for use in the Call-Info header field for RCD. The choice of jCard in this specification is guided by two aspects. jCard represents an extensible method of providing information about a person or business associated with a call, has been defined in [\[RFC9795\]](#), and has been adopted by PASSporT [\[RFC8225\]](#) because of the usage of JSON Web Tokens (JWT) [\[RFC7519\]](#).

The new Call-Info header field parameter 'call-reason' conveys the caller's intent or reason for calling to help the called party understand the context and intent of the call and why they may want to answer the call.

The new Call-Info header field parameter 'verified' provides an indication, with the value "true", to represent the results of the verification procedures that were performed by the sender of the Call-Info header field. The new Call-Info header field parameter 'integrity' provides a mechanism to associate an integrity hash string, as defined in [Section 8.2](#) of [\[RFC9795\]](#), that is associated with the content of the resource referenced by the URI represented in the Call-Info header field.

4. A Call-Info Framework for Carrying Rich Call Data

This specification extends the Call-Info header field to be compatible and complementary to the RCD framework defined in [RFC9795]. Typically, a SIP-based session involves multiple hops through different trusted and untrusted networks. The STIR framework [RFC7340] addresses the protection of the carriage of call information and identities over untrusted networks, which wasn't addressed in the core SIP specifications. [RFC3261], Section 20.9 defines the Call-Info header field as the mechanism for carrying call- and caller-related information and also provides procedures for defining new 'purpose' parameter tokens. This document discusses the use of existing tokens and defines a new 'purpose' token to correspond to the RCD framework.

There are a number of RCD information types that can be transmitted in the Call-Info header field of a SIP request. The STIR RCD specification [RFC9795] defines the following primary RCD elements: a calling name, a logo or icon associated with the caller, and a call reason string. It also discusses an extensible way to carry caller information using jCard [RFC7095].

The RCD framework defined both in this document as well as in [RFC9795] carries call-specific information. The insertion of RCD is intended to be singular in that the receiving party should not be required to make any call-specific decisions based on redundant, duplicate, or conflicting RCD. The RCD information is either intended to be added by a party that is authoritative over that information or to have been translated from a verified STIR RCD PASSporT and unmodified once in a trusted domain. Any additional parties involved in the call path **MUST NOT** modify the Call-Info header field or add additional Call-Info header fields related to RCD. The insertion of the RCD Call-Info header field should be considered a trusted action based on trusted information, and the information **MUST NOT** be considered modifiable representing the best practice of determining the final representation of the caller RCD to the user. This specification acknowledges that without the use of STIR or other mechanisms, detection of any modifications is not possible, so guidance for the use of this specification in a trusted UNI part of the network is important.

As discussed in [RFC9795], the calling name uses the display-name value of the From header field [RFC3261] of the request. Alternatively, for some calls, the calling name may come from the P-Asserted-ID header field [RFC3325]. While this is out of scope for the Call-Info header field in terms of the representation of the display-name value, this document does discuss the representation of the verification of this value using the 'verified' parameter.

For logos or icons that can represent the calling party, the 'purpose' token "icon" [RFC3261] is used to indicate a URI for an image resource that can be displayed to the user receiving the SIP request. For the purpose of this document and the transmission of RCD, the "icon" 'purpose' token should be used as defined. Section 8.2 of [RFC9795] provides high-level guidance on image formatting and related information.

This document defines 'call-reason' as a new parameter for the Call-Info header field. This parameter carries a string indicating the reason for the call.

jCard is a comprehensive and extensible mechanism utilized as part of the STIR RCD framework. While [RFC3261] specifies a "card" 'purpose' token, the intent of defining a new "jcard" 'purpose' token is to use the JSON jCard format [RFC7095] and to provide guidance for the use and non-use of jCard attributes to describe the calling party in a communications session as well to provide some security considerations around that information. These topics are covered in the next sections.

5. "jcard" Call-Info 'purpose' Token

The Call-Info 'purpose' token "jcard" indicates support of RCD associated with the identity of a calling party in a SIP call [RFC3261], Section 20.9. The format of a Call-Info header field when using the "jcard" token is as follows.

The Call-Info header field is defined to include a URI that points to a resource that is a jCard JSON object [RFC7095]. The media type for the JSON text **MUST** be set as application/json with an encoding of UTF-8 [RFC8259]. This **MAY** be carried directly in the Call-Info header field URI using the "data" URI scheme. A jCard also **MAY** be carried in the body of the SIP request bearing this Call-Info header field via the "cid" URI scheme [RFC2392]. Alternatively, the Call-Info header field URI **MUST** use a transport that can validate the integrity of the source of the resource (e.g., HTTPS tied to a specific validated domain). If, in the specific deployment environment of SIP, the source or integrity of the RCD information cannot be trusted, then the use of the STIR RCD framework defined in [RFC9795] should be considered.

Because the use and purpose of this specification is to provide a single presentation of RCD information, a call and its corresponding single RCD-related Call-Info header field **MUST** only contain a single jCard object represented by an array with two elements. The array **MUST** only include a single first element with the string "vcard", and the second element is an array of jCard properties corresponding to the single entity jCard object.

jCard has multiple fields that may convey similar information, for example, "fn", "n", or "nickname" are strings that represent names in different ways, or "photo" or "logo" represent a picture. Users of this specification should make sure there is consistency for the calling name string corresponding to the single name in the SIP From or P-Asserted-ID header field or a "logo" or "photo" corresponds to the RCD "icon" as described in the previous section. As described in [RFC8224] and [RFC9795] verification procedures, the values represented in the RCD **MUST** match the corresponding information in the SIP message to enable proper verification of calling name or icon consistently.

An example of a Call-Info header field is:

```
Call-Info: <https://example.com/qbranch.json>;purpose=jcard
```

An example of the contents of a URL-linked jCard JSON file is shown as follows:

```
[ "vcard",  
  [  
    [ "version", {}, "text", "4.0"],  
    [ "fn", {}, "text", "Q Branch"],  
    [ "org", {}, "text", "MI6;Q Branch Spy Gadgets"],  
    [ "photo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/photos/q-256x256.png"],  
    [ "logo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/logos/mi6-256x256.jpg"],  
    [ "logo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/logos/mi6-64x64.jpg"]  
  ]  
]
```

An example SIP INVITE using the "data" URI scheme is as follows:

```
INVITE sip:alice@example.com SIP/2.0  
Via: SIP/2.0/TLS pc33.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKnashds8  
To: Alice <sip:alice@example.com>  
From: Bob <sip:12155551000@example.com;user=phone>;tag=1928301774>  
Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710  
Call-Info: <data:application/json,[ "vcard",[ [ "version", {}, "text",  
  "4.0"], [ "fn", {}, "text", "Q Branch"], [ "org", {}, "text", "MI6;Q Branch  
  Spy Gadgets"], [ "photo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/photos/quart  
  ermaster-256x256.png"], [ "logo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/log  
  os/mi6-256x256.jpg"], [ "logo", {}, "uri", "https://example.com/logos/  
  mi6-64x64.jpg" ] ] ] \>;purpose=jcard;call-reason="Rendezvous for  
  Little Nellie"  
CSeq: 314159 INVITE  
Max-Forwards: 70  
Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2025 19:12:25 GMT  
Contact: <sip:12155551000@gateway.example.com>  
Content-Type: application/sdp  
  
v=0  
o=UserA 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com  
s=Session SDP  
c=IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com  
t=0 0  
m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 0  
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
```

An example SIP INVITE using the "cid" URI scheme is as follows:


```
INVITE sip:alice@example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/TLS pc33.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKnashds8
To: Alice <sip:alice@example.com>
From: Bob <sip:12155551000@example.com;user=phone>;tag=1928301774>
Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710
Call-Info: <cid:12155551000@example.com>;purpose=jcard;
  call-reason="Rendezvous for Little Nellie"
CSeq: 314159 INVITE
Max-Forwards: 70
Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2025 19:12:25 GMT
Contact: <sip:12155551000@gateway.example.com>
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=boundary1
Content-Length: ...

--boundary1

Content-Type: application/sdp

v=0
o=UserA 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
s=Session SDP
c=IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000

--boundary1

Content-Type: application/json
Content-ID: <12155551000@example.com>

["vcard",[[{"version",{"text","4.0"}},{"fn",{"text","Q Branch"}},
{"org",{"text","MI6;Q Branch Spy Gadgets"}},{"photo",{"uri",
https://example.com/photos/quartermaster-256x256.png}},{"logo",
{"uri","https://example.com/logos/mi6-256x256.jpg"}},{"logo",{"uri",
"uri","https://example.com/logos/mi6-64x64.jpg"}]]]
```

6. 'call-reason' Call-Info Parameter

This parameter is intended to be separate and distinct from the other URI and 'purpose' tokens that may precede these parameters.

This new parameter of the Call-Info header field is called 'call-reason'. The 'call-reason' parameter is intended to convey a short textual message suitable for display to an end user during call alerting. As a general guideline, this message **SHOULD** be no longer than 64 characters; displays that support this specification may be forced to truncate messages that cannot fit onto a screen. This message conveys the caller's intention in contacting the callee. It is an optional parameter, and the sender of a SIP request cannot guarantee that its display will be supported by the terminating endpoint. The manner in which this reason is set by the caller is outside the scope of this specification. In general, use of strings that could be forms of URIs or other potential strings that could be used or interpreted as a 'clickable' action is discouraged.

An alternative approach would have been to use the value of Subject header field [\[RFC3261\]](#) to convey the reason for the call. However, because the Subject header field has seen little historical use in SIP implementations and its specification describes its potential use in filtering, it seemed prudent to define a new means of carrying a call-reason indication.

An example of a Call-Info header field value with the "call-reason" parameter follows:

```
Call-Info: <https://example.com/jbond.json>;purpose=jcard;  
call-reason="For your ears only"
```

For 'call-reason' or 'verified' parameters defined in this document that do not require an associated URI, or for future parameters do not require an associated URI, the Call-Info header field URI should be set to the null data URI, "data:". The purpose parameter "jcard", defined in this document, is used to avoid any conflicts or confusion with existing implementations and previously defined purpose parameters. As an example:

```
Call-Info: <data:>;purpose=jcard;  
call-reason="For your ears only"
```

7. 'verified' Call-Info Parameter

The 'verified' parameter extends and complements the content conveyed by the RCD-related Call-Info header field. This parameter indicates to the recipient that the information contained in the Call-Info header field has been verified by verification procedures for claims defined in [Section 8](#) of [\[RFC9795\]](#). The presence of a 'verified' parameter on a Call-Info header field should be considered specific to the information for that Call-Info header field only. If there is a Call-Info header field corresponding to information defined in this specification that doesn't contain a 'verified' parameter, the recipient should assume that information was not received and verified corresponding to the verification procedures defined in [Section 8](#) of [\[RFC9795\]](#).

There is a single valid value associated with the 'verified' parameter of 'true'. The value 'true' indicates to the recipient that the party that included the Call-Info header field performed a successful verification of the information represented. As a general principle of Call-Info header field information, the recipients' ability to trust the 'verified' parameter is based on the trusted relationship with the party from whom they are receiving the SIP request.

The following is an example where the parameter verified="true" is used to represent that a verification procedure has been performed within a trusted domain to indicate the "icon" URL has been successfully verified:

```
Call-Info: <https://example.com/jbond.png>;purpose=icon;  
verified="true"
```

In addition to the use of the indication of successful verification of RCD information, an important usage of the 'verified' parameter is to indicate verification of display-name information, sometimes referred to as calling name or CNAM.

In the following example, a call was delivered via an NNI to a terminating provider with the following STIR RCD PASSporT.

```
Protected Header
{
  "alg": "ES256",
  "typ": "passport",
  "ppt": "rcd",
  "x5u": "https://cert.example.org/passport.pem"
}
Payload
{
  "dest": { "tn": [ "12025551001" ] },
  "iat": 1443208345,
  "orig": { "tn": "12025551000" },
  "rcd": { "nam": "James Bond", "icn": "https://example.com/jbond.png" }
}
```

The terminating provider receives a SIP INVITE with an identity header containing the STIR RCD PASSporT that is verified through a verification service. The provider then wants to deliver the call to an end device in the trusted and authenticated UNI network. The provider uses local policies to determine the information to present to the end device. The following example SIP INVITE could be used to represent the RCD information using two Call-Info header fields. Because both the icon and calling name have passed verification, a Call-Info header for the "icon" is added with a `verified="true"` parameter, and the use of Call-Info with a null data URI is used, as discussed in the "call-reason" section above. This document defines that the display-name information in either the From and/or P-Asserted-ID header field has been verified via RCD PASSporT verification procedures when the following is present: a 'purpose' parameter tokens of "jcard", a Call-Info header field with a null data URI "data:", and a verified parameter equal to "true".

Example SIP INVITE described above:

```
INVITE sip:qbranch@example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/TLS pc33.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKnashds8
To: "QBranch" <sip:qbranch@example.com>
From: "James Bond" <sip:12155551000@example.com;user=phone>;
    tag=1928>
Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710
Call-Info: <https://example.com/jbond.png>;purpose=icon;
    verified="true"
Call-Info: <data:>;purpose=jcard;verified="true"
CSeq: 314159 INVITE
Max-Forwards: 70
Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2025 19:12:25 GMT
Contact: <sip:12155551000@gateway.example.com>
Content-Type: application/sdp

v=0
o=UserA 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
s=Session SDP
c=IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
```

8. 'integrity' Call-Info Parameter

The 'integrity' parameter extends and complements the integrity information conveyed specifically by the "rcdi" claim in the RCD-related Call-Info header field. This parameter is used to indicate, for a URI represented in the Call-Info header field, that the resource referenced by that URI has an associated integrity hash value, based conceptually on [W3C-SRI]. [Section 6 of \[RFC9795\]](#) describes the procedures for the creation of the digest value including the hash algorithm indicator a '-' separator and the hash value as a string. The JSON pointer object container described as the container of the 'rcdi' hashes is not necessary because each hash value should only correspond to a single URI. Corresponding to guidance defined in [Section 6 of \[RFC9795\]](#), implementations of this specification **MUST** support the hash algorithms SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512. These hash algorithms are identified by "sha256", "sha384", and "sha512", respectively.

Assuming the URI and the resource pointing to the URI don't change between the STIR RCD PASSporT and the Call-Info URI value, the integrity value can typically be used as the same corresponding string in both the "rcdi" claim and the 'integrity' parameter.

Note: When the 'rcdi' claim is part of the successfully verified RCD PASSporT, the Call-Info Header Field should include both the 'verified' and 'integrity' parameters. Creation of a Call-Info header field based on an identity header field that carries RCD claims that does not pass verification procedures is not suggested (i.e., the inclusion of an 'integrity' parameter without a properly included 'verified' parameter).

Example STIR RCD PASSporT:

```

Protected Header
{
  "alg": "ES256",
  "typ": "passport",
  "ppt": "rcd",
  "x5u": "https://cert.example.org/passport.pem"
}
Payload
{
  "crn": "Rendezvous for Little Nellie",
  "dest": {"tn": ["12155551001"]},
  "iat": 1443208345,
  "orig": {"tn": "12025551000"},
  "rcd": {
    "nam": "Q Branch Spy Gadgets",
    "icn": "https://example.com/photos/q-256x256.png"
  },
  "rcdi": {
    "/icn": "sha256-RojgWwU6xUtI4q82+kHPyHm1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14"
  }
}

```

Example corresponding SIP INVITE with Call-Info information derived from RCD information above:

```

INVITE sip:qbranch@example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/TLS pc33.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKnashds8
To: "James Bond" <sip:12155551001@example.com;user=phone>
From: "Q Branch Spy Gadgets" <sip:12025551000@example.com;
  user=phone>;tag=1928>
Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710
Call-Info: <https://example.com/photos/q-256x256.png>;purpose=
  icon;verified="true";integrity="sha256-RojgWwU6xUtI4q82+kHPyHm
  1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14"
Call-Info: <data:>;purpose=jcard;call-reason="Rendezvous for
  Little Nellie";verified="true"
Call-Info: <data:>;purpose=jcard;verified="true"
CSeq: 314159 INVITE
Max-Forwards: 70
Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2025 19:12:25 GMT
Contact: <sip:12155551000@gateway.example.com>
Content-Type: application/sdp

v=0
o=UserA 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
s=Session SDP
c=IN IP4 pc33.atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000

```

9. Usage and an Example of Call-Info for RCD

The procedures for the usage of URIs and 'purpose' parameter tokens should follow the procedures defined in [RFC3261]. The general management and provisioning of RCD for an initiating party requires a lot of validation of information regarding that specific initiating party, which is out of scope of this document. Since the 'rcd' Call-Info header field is verified during the transition from the Network-to-Network Interface (NNI) to the User-to-Network Interface (UNI), a common approach is to extract and translate the verified information from a received STIR 'rcd' PASSporT into this header field. This allows the RCD to be delivered to the end user device through the UNI.

The following example provides both the STIR RCD PASSporT and the corresponding set of Call-Info header fields showing the use of multiple Call-Info 'purpose' tokens to indicate "jCard" and "icon" and also a 'call-reason' Call-Info parameter:

Example STIR RCD PASSporT:

```
Protected Header
{
  "alg": "ES256",
  "typ": "passport",
  "ppt": "rcd",
  "x5u": "https://cert.example.org/passport.pem"
}
Payload
{
  "crn": "For your ears only",
  "dest": { "tn": ["12025551001"] },
  "iat": 1443208345,
  "orig": { "tn": "12025551000" },
  "rcd": {
    "jcl": "https://example.com/qbranch.json",
    "icn": "https://example.com/jbond.png"
  },
  "rcdi": {
    "/jcl": "sha256-yHm1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14RojgWwU6xUtI4q82+kHP",
    "/icn": "sha256-RojgWwU6xUtI4q82+kHPyHm1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14"
  }
}
```

Example Call-Info header fields:

```
Call-Info: <data:>;purpose=jcard;verified="true"
Call-Info: <https://example.com/jbond.json>;purpose=jcard;verified
=true;integrity="sha256-yHm1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14RojgWwU6xUtI4q82
+kHP"
Call-Info: <https://example.com/jbond.png>;purpose=icon;
call-reason="For your ears only";verified=true;integrity=
"sha256-RojgWwU6xUtI4q82+kHPyHm1JKbm7+663bMvzymhk14"
```

10. Usage of jCard and Property-Specific Usage

Beyond the definition of the specific properties or JSON arrays associated with each property, this specification defines a few rules beyond those defined in [RFC7095] that are specific to the use of jCard for Call-Info and RCD to ensure there is a minimum level of supported properties to which every implementation of this specification should adhere. This includes support for interpreting the value of these properties and the ability to render in some appropriate form the display capabilities of common telephone devices as well as applications, and also includes requirements specific to textual and graphics-capable displays.

10.1. Usage of URIs in jCard

When one or more URIs are used in a jCard, it is important to note that any URI-referenced data, with the exception of the top-level usage of "jcl" as a URI to the jCard itself **MUST NOT** contain any URI references. In other words, the jCard can have URI references as defined in the jCard specification and this document, but the content referenced by those URIs **MUST NOT** have any URIs; therefore, the client **MUST** ensure that those URI references are not followed, and any URIs that are present in that specific URI-linked content are not rendered. The purpose of this is to control the security and more specifically to align with the content-integrity mechanism defined in [RFC9795]. There is not anticipated to be need for which deeper URI references would be required or even supported by the typical use of current jCard properties. However, because jCard is extensible, this rule is set to restrict further extension without the proper consideration of security and integrity properties of both Call-Info usage as well as the RCD and STIR signing of the data [RFC9795] [RFC8224].

10.2. Usage of Multimedia Data in jCard or with the “icon” Call-Info ‘purpose’ Token

For the use of the 'purpose' token "icon" or for the cases where the jCard either incorporates URIs or includes digital images and sounds directly via Base64 encoding (Section 4 of [RFC4648]), this document provides guidance at the time of writing that can be adopted to facilitate the successful decoding and rendering of these images and media formats. Note that media formats are likely something implementers need to consider for their specific application.

For images, such as for the "photo" and "logo" properties, the default image formats **SHOULD** be PNG [ISOPNG] or JPEG [ITUJPEG], as these files are commonly used to support 24-bit RGB images. Supporting older telephone devices that only support bitmap (BMP) images [RFC7903] with a lower bit range (e.g., 16-bit, 8-bit, or 1-bit), or grayscale, or 1-bit black and white color displays, should be considered optional or even not recommended because, at the time of writing, they are becoming increasingly rare (i.e., typically, devices either have color or color-aware graphical displays that support PNG or JPEG formats or they are exclusively textual displays).

In addition, vector images are increasingly popular to use as icons because they support scalable images without having to send multiple resolutions. The SVG format has gained wide support as of this writing as a common format for vector images. At a minimum, the SVG Tiny 1.2 specification [[W3C-SVGTiny1.2](#)] **SHOULD** be supported as an additional default format for devices.

For the cases where image files are referenced by URIs as file resources, this document defines a character string that **SHOULD** be concatenated onto the end of a file name, but before the file extension, that signals the height and width of the image to the end device for the convenience of determining the appropriate resolution to retrieve files without the need to retrieve all the image files. It is also recommended that images have a square aspect ratio with equal height and width and with a power-of-two value for the number of pixels (e.g., 32x32, 128x128, 512x512). The format of the string should be "filename-HxW", where "filename" is a unique string representing the file, "H" represents the height in pixels, and "W" represents the width in pixels.

It is appropriate and useful to include multiple versions of images or sounds so that endpoints that cannot support all formats or resolutions can select the format they do support. The **RECOMMENDED** convention is for files that refer to the same content to use the same filename portion. If the image format has a specific resolution, the HxW portion of the filename should correspond to the pixel resolution. The file extension should reference the file type (e.g., filename.png, filename.svg, or filename.jpg) or (e.g., filename-32x32.png, filename-64x64.png, filename.svg, filename-32x32.jpg, or filename-64x64.jpg).

Because this is a complex and often debated topic that has evolved over the many years of advances in image coding and display technologies, this specification suggests relying on either future specifications or industry forum specifications that might correspond to supporting particular classes of devices to further define how URIs can reference appropriate image formats and files.

For audio files, the recommendation is to provide mp3, m4a or mp4, or wav files [[RFC2361](#)], although the usage of sound (for example, a special ring tone for a particular caller) is not well defined in this specification. Future documents should consider both usage and potential security risks of playing sounds that are not specifically authorized by a device user.

10.3. Cardinality

Property cardinalities are indicated, for convenience, using the following notation and follow the guidance of jCard [[RFC7095](#)] and vCard [[RFC6350](#)], which is based on ABNF (see [[RFC5234](#)], [Section 3.6](#)):

| Cardinality | Meaning |
|-------------|---|
| 1 | Exactly one instance per jCard MUST be present. |
| *1 | Exactly one instance per jCard MAY be present. |
| 1* | One or more instances per jCard MUST be present. |

| Cardinality | Meaning |
|-------------|--|
| * | One or more instances per jCard MAY be present. |

Table 1

10.4. Identification Properties

The following properties, initially defined in [RFC6350], hold the identity information of the entity associated with the jCard. This subset of properties selected for this document are relevant to telephone and messaging applications.

10.4.1. "fn" Property

The "fn" property provides formatted text corresponding to the name of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.2.1.

Value type: A single text value.
Cardinality: 1*

Example:
["fn", {}, "text", "Mr. John Q. Public\\, Esq."]

10.4.2. "n" Property

The "n" property provides the components of the name of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.2.2.

Value type: A single structured text value. Each component can have multiple values.
Cardinality: *1

Example:
["n", {}, "text", "Public;John;Quinlan;Mr.;Esq."]
["n", {}, "text", "Stevenson;John;Philip,Paul;Dr.;Jr.,M.D.,A.C.P."]

10.4.3. "nickname" Property

The "nickname" property provides the text corresponding to the nickname of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.2.3.

Value type: One or more text values separated by a COMMA character (U+002C).
Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "nickname", {}, "text", "Robbie" ]  
[ "nickname", {}, "text", "Jim, Jimmie" ]  
[ "nickname", {}, "text", "TYPE=work:Boss" ]
```

10.4.4. "photo" Property

The "photo" property provides image or photograph information that annotates some aspect of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.2.4](#).

In addition to the definition of jCard, and to promote interoperability and proper formatting and rendering of images, the photo **SHOULD** correspond to a square image with the size of 128x128, 256x256, 512x512, or 1024x1024 pixels.

Value type: A single URI.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "photo", {}, "uri", "http://www.example.com/jqpublic-256x256.png" ]
```

10.5. Delivery Addressing Properties

This property is concerned with information related to the delivery address of the jCard object.

10.5.1. "adr" Property

The "adr" property provides the delivery address of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.3.1](#).

Value type: A single structured text value separated by the SEMICOLON character (U+003B).

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "adr", { "type": "work" }, "text",  
  [ "", "", "3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW", "Washington", "DC",  
    "20008", "U.S.A." ]  
]
```

"adr" also allows a structured value element that itself has multiple values. In this case, the element of the array describing the structured value is itself an array with one element for each of the component's multiple values. The following example shows alternate values for the address string.

Example:

```
[ "adr", { "type": "work" }, "text",  
  [ "", "", [ "3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW", "Embassy of the  
    United Kingdom"], "Washington", "DC", "20008", "U.S.A." ]  
]
```

10.6. Communications Properties

These properties describe how to communicate with the object the jCard represents.

10.6.1. "tel" Property

The "tel" property provides the telephone number for the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.4.1](#).

Relative to the SIP From header field value, this information may provide an alternate telephone number or other related telephone numbers for other uses.

It is important to note that any of the instances of the "tel" property should not be considered part of the authentication or verification part of STIR [\[RFC8224\]](#) or required to match the "orig" claim in the PASSporT [\[RFC8225\]](#). These telephone numbers can be for contact, fax, or other purposes aligned with the general usage of jCard and vCard, but the potential confusion of the callee when provided with multiple telephone numbers instead of the actual, verified telephone number should be considered from a general policy point of view.

Value type: By default, it is a single free-form text value (for backward compatibility with vCard 3), but it **SHOULD** be reset to a URI value. It is expected that the URI scheme will be "tel", as specified in [\[RFC3966\]](#), but other schemes **MAY** be used.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "tel", { "type": [ "voice", "text", "cell" ], "pref": "1" }, "uri",  
  "tel:+1-202-555-1000"  
[ "tel", { "type": [ "fax" ] }, "uri", "tel:+1-202-555-1001" ]
```

10.6.2. "email" Property

The "email" property provides the electronic mail address of the object the jCard represents.

Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.4.2](#).

Value type: A single text value.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "email", { "type": "work" }, "text", "jqpublic@xyz.example.com" ]  
[ "email", { "pref": "1" }, "text", "jane_doe@example.com" ]
```

10.6.3. "lang" Property

The "lang" property indicates the language(s) that may be used for communicating with the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.4.4.

Value type: A single language-tag value.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "lang", { "type": "work", "pref": "1" }, "language-tag", "en" ]  
[ "lang", { "type": "work", "pref": "2" }, "language-tag", "fr" ]  
[ "lang", { "type": "home" }, "language-tag", "fr" ]
```

10.7. Geographical Properties

These properties provide geographical information associated with the object the jCard represents.

10.7.1. "tz" Property

The "tz" property provides the time zone of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.5.1.

Note: The reference for time-zone names is <<https://www.iana.org/time-zones>>.

Value type: The default is a single text value. It can also be reset to a single URI or a UTC-offset value.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "tz", {}, "text", "America/New_York" ]
```

10.7.2. "geo" Property

The "geo" property provides the global positioning of the object the jCard represents. Reference: [RFC6350], Section 6.5.2.

Value type: A single URI.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
  ["geo", {}, "uri", "geo:37.386013,-122.082932"]
```

10.8. Organizational Properties

These properties are concerned with information associated with characteristics of the organization or organizational units of the object that the jCard represents.

10.8.1. "title" Property

The "title" property provides the position or job of the object the jCard represents. Reference [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.6.1](#).

Value type: A single text value.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
  ["title", {}, "text", "Research Scientist"]
```

10.8.2. "role" Property

The "role" property provides the position or job of the object the jCard represents. Reference [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.6.2](#).

Value type: A single text value.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
  ["role", {}, "text", "Project Leader"]
```

10.8.3. "logo" Property

The "logo" property specifies a graphic image of a logo associated with the object the jCard represents. Reference [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.6.3](#).

Value type: A single URI.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
  ["logo", {}, "uri", "http://www.example.com/abccorp-512x512.jpg"]

  ["logo", {}, "uri", "
  AQEEBQAwdzELMAkGA1UEBhMCVVMxLDAqBgNVBAoTI05ldHNjYXB1IENvbW11bm
  1jYXRpb25zIENvcnBvcnF0aW9uMRwwGgYDVQQLExNJbmZvcn1hdGlvbiBTexN0
  <...the remainder of base64-encoded data...>"]
```

10.8.4. "org" Property

The "org" property specifies the organizational name and units of the object the jCard represents. Reference [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.6.4](#).

Value type: A single structured text value consisting of components separated by the SEMICOLON character (U+003B).

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
["org", {}, "text", "ABC\, Inc.;North American Division;Marketing"]
```

10.9. Explanatory Properties

These properties provide additional information such as notes or revisions specific to the jCard.

10.9.1. "categories" Property

The "categories" property specifies application category information about the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.1](#).

Value type: One or more text values separated by a COMMA character (U+002C).

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
["categories", {}, "text", "TRAVEL AGENT"]

["categories", {}, "text", "INTERNET,IETF,INDUSTRY"]
```

10.9.2. "note" Property

The "note" property specifies supplemental information or a comment about the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.2](#).

Value type: A single text value.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
["note", {}, "text", "This fax number is operational 0800 to 1715
EST\, Mon-Fri."]
```

10.9.3. "sound" Property

The "sound" property specifies digital sound content information that annotates some aspect of the object the jCard represents. This property is often used to specify the proper pronunciation of the name property value of the jCard. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.5](#).

Value type: A single URI.

Cardinality: *

Example:

```
[ "sound", {}, "uri", "https://www.example.com/pub/logos/abccorp.mp3" ]

[ "sound", {}, "uri", "data:audio/basic;base64,MIIcAjCCAdOgAwIBAgICBEAQEEBQAwdzELMAkGA1UEBhMCVVMxLDAqBgNVBAoTl05ldHNjYXB1IENvbW11bm1jYXRpb25zIENvcnBvcmlF0aW9uMRwwGgYDVQQLEXNJbmZvcmlhdGlvbiB<...the remainder of base64-encoded data...>" ]
```

10.9.4. "uid" Property

The "uid" property specifies a globally unique identifier corresponding to the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.6](#).

Value type: A single URI value. It **MAY** also be reset to free-form text.

Cardinality: *1

Example:

```
[ "uid", {}, "uri", "urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6" ]
```

10.9.5. "url" Property

The "url" property specifies a uniform resource locator associated with the object the jCard represents. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.8](#).

There are potential security and privacy implications of providing URLs with telephone calls.

The end client receiving a jCard with a "url" property **MUST** only display the URL and not automatically follow the URL or provide an automatic preview of the URL. In addition, it **MUST** generally adhere to good practice to make it clear to the user that it is their choice whether to follow the URL in a browser context consistent with all of the common browser security and privacy practices available on most consumer OS environments.

Value type: A single uri value.

Cardinality: *

```
Example:
["url", {}, "uri", "https://example.org/french-rest/chezchic.html"]
```

10.9.6. "version" Property

The "version" property **MUST** be included and is intended to specify the version of the vCard specification used to format this vCard. Reference: [\[RFC6350\]](#), [Section 6.7.9](#).

Value type: A single text value.
Cardinality: 1

```
Example:
["version", {}, "text", "4.0"]
```

11. Extension of jCard

Part of the intent of using jCard is to leverage its extensibility to define new properties to relay new information related to a caller. This capability is inherently supported as part of standard extensibility. However, usage of those new properties should be published and registered following [\[RFC7095\]](#), [Section 3.6](#) or as defined in future specifications.

12. IANA Considerations

12.1. "jcard" Purpose Parameter Value

This document defines the "jcard" value for the 'purpose' parameter of the Call-Info header field [\[RFC3261\]](#). IANA has added this document to the list of references for the 'purpose' value of Call-Info in the "Header Field Parameters and Parameter Values" registry within the "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Parameters" registry group.

12.2. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'call-reason' Parameter

This document defines the 'call-reason' generic parameter for use in the Call-Info header field in the "Header Field Parameters and Parameter Values" registry defined by [\[RFC3968\]](#). The parameter's token is "call-reason", and it takes the value of a quoted string.

| Header Field | Parameter Name | Predefined Values | Reference |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Call-Info | call-reason | No | RFC 9796 |

Table 2

12.3. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'verified' Parameter

This document defines the 'verified' generic parameter for use in the Call-Info header field in the "Header Field Parameters and Parameter Values" registry defined by [RFC3968]. The parameter's token is "verified", and it takes the value of a quoted string that can only be "true".

| Header Field | Parameter Name | Predefined Values | Reference |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Call-Info | verified | Yes | RFC 9796 |

Table 3

12.4. SIP Call-Info Header Field 'integrity' Parameter

This document defines the 'integrity' generic parameter for use as a new parameter in the Call-Info header field in the "Header Field Parameters and Parameter Values" registry defined by [RFC3968]. The parameter's token is "integrity", and it takes the value of a quoted string.

| Header Field | Parameter Name | Predefined Values | Reference |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Call-Info | integrity | No | RFC 9796 |

Table 4

13. Security Considerations

Revealing information such as the name, location, and affiliation of a person necessarily entails certain privacy risks. The SIP Call-Info header field has no particular confidentiality requirement, as the information sent in SIP is in the clear anyway. Transport-level security can be used to hide information from eavesdroppers, and the same confidentiality mechanisms would protect any Call-Info or jCard information carried or referred to in SIP.

The use of the Call-Info header for transporting RCD ('rcd') is intended primarily for providing verified information at the termination of a call, where a verification service has a trusted UNI relationship with the user agent. To ensure the integrity and authenticity of this data, the security framework established by STIR, including the use of the 'rcd'PASSporT as defined in [RFC9795], should be followed. This framework enables digital signatures to verify the issuer of assertions related to the calling party's identity, distinguishing persistent identity attributes from transient, per-call details. Implementers should also consider certificate-based constraints to ensure proper binding between caller identity assertions and call-specific metadata while maintaining the integrity of the information throughout transmission. Since Call-Info serves as a means to convey verified caller information to the end user, mechanisms should be in place to validate the authenticity of the assertion, enforce appropriate certificate associations, and preserve the trustworthiness of RCD from origination to termination.

The SIP framework, defined in [RFC3261] and the various extensions to SIP which includes STIR [RFC8224] and RCD [RFC9795], has always provided mechanisms to assert information about the person or entity behind the call. This feature that can be a benefit to the SIP network that allows users to help identify the calling party behind an abstract telephone number. It can also enable the ability for actors to impersonate a calling party they are not authorized to represent. The core security consideration that has either explicitly or implicitly been acknowledged with any of the SIP and STIR specifications is that there be a management and policy layer that validates the participants in the ecosystem and their use of a SIP network with telephone number identifiers and identity-related information. Users should assess this risk and make the appropriate adjustments to validate proper participation while following these tools following these larger security, impersonation prevention, and privacy considerations.

The use of this specification with the insertion of metadata related to a caller or the purpose of the call should recognize the risk that this information can be viewed by those network elements and participants in the delivery of the SIP call. The insertion of media directly or via Base64 encoding or using a remote URI that query network resources should be considered as a potential threat vector to the user or user agent that could potentially allow the parsing of documents crafted to trigger a bug or install a virus. Remote access to URI content should additionally be considered as potentially exposing information about that user or user agent. Some sensitive users may desire the ability to control or disable these mechanisms entirely, and methods to restrict or disable the potential exposure should be considered to mitigate these concerns. Largely, any information that is included in RCD should be considered public, and this specification does not define any mechanism to protect this information beyond the security and privacy associated with the SIP signalling itself. This is a property that is consistent with SIP more generally, and this specification follows a similar pattern for its use.

This specification contains the ability to include media resources and URI and URL resource references to media resources that could pose a threat when referencing or decoding the content of these media resources, which is similar to threats that web browsers and other media decoding applications must be concerned about. Network administrators should consider a network-specific set of policies or best practices for the use and hosting of media content that is agreed to contain validated media resources that have been evaluated to not pose a security threat to the participants or the devices supported in the ecosystem.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

- [ISOPNG] ISO/IEC, "Information technology -- Computer graphics and image processing -- Portable Network Graphics (PNG), Functional specification", ISO/IEC 15948:2004, March 2004, <<https://www.iso.org/standard/29581.html>>.
- [ITUJPEG] ITU-T, "Information technology - Digital compression and coding of continuous-tone still images: JPEG File Interchange Format (JFIF)", ITU-T Recommendation T.871, ISO/IEC 10918-5, May 2013, <<https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-T.871-201105-I/en>>.

-
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2392] Levinson, E., "Content-ID and Message-ID Uniform Resource Locators", RFC 2392, DOI 10.17487/RFC2392, August 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2392>>.
- [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, DOI 10.17487/RFC3261, June 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3261>>.
- [RFC3966] Schulzrinne, H., "The tel URI for Telephone Numbers", RFC 3966, DOI 10.17487/RFC3966, December 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3966>>.
- [RFC3968] Camarillo, G., "The Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA) Header Field Parameter Registry for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", BCP 98, RFC 3968, DOI 10.17487/RFC3968, December 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3968>>.
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", RFC 4648, DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4648>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC6350] Perreault, S., "vCard Format Specification", RFC 6350, DOI 10.17487/RFC6350, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6350>>.
- [RFC7095] Kewisch, P., "jCard: The JSON Format for vCard", RFC 7095, DOI 10.17487/RFC7095, January 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7095>>.
- [RFC7519] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Token (JWT)", RFC 7519, DOI 10.17487/RFC7519, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7519>>.
- [RFC7852] Gellens, R., Rosen, B., Tschofenig, H., Marshall, R., and J. Winterbottom, "Additional Data Related to an Emergency Call", RFC 7852, DOI 10.17487/RFC7852, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7852>>.
- [RFC7903] Leonard, S., "Windows Image Media Types", RFC 7903, DOI 10.17487/RFC7903, September 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7903>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8224] Peterson, J., Jennings, C., Rescorla, E., and C. Wendt, "Authenticated Identity Management in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 8224, DOI 10.17487/RFC8224, February 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8224>>.
-

- [RFC8225] Wendt, C. and J. Peterson, "PASSporT: Personal Assertion Token", RFC 8225, DOI 10.17487/RFC8225, February 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8225>>.
- [RFC8259] Bray, T., Ed., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", STD 90, RFC 8259, DOI 10.17487/RFC8259, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259>>.
- [RFC9795] Wendt, C. and J. Peterson, "Personal Assertion Token (PASSporT) Extension for Rich Call Data", RFC 9795, DOI 10.17487/RFC9795, June 2025, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9795>>.
- [W3C-SRI] Akhawe, D., Ed., Braun, F., Ed., Marier, F., Ed., and J. Weinberger, Ed., "Subresource Integrity", W3C Recommendation, 23 June 2016, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/2016/REC-SRI-20160623/>>.
- [W3C-SVGTiny1.2] Andersson, O., Ed., Berjon, R., Ed., Dahlström, E., Ed., Emmons, A., Ed., Ferraiolo, J., Ed., Grasso, A., Ed., Hardy, V., Ed., Hayman, S., Ed., Jackson, D., Ed., Lilley, C., Ed., McCormack, C., Ed., Neumann, A., Ed., Northway, C., Ed., Quint, A., Ed., Ramani, N., Ed., Schepers, D., Ed., and A. Shellshear, Ed., "Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) Tiny 1.2 Specification", W3C Recommendation, 22 December 2008, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-SVGTiny12-20081222/>>.

14.2. Informative References

- [RFC2361] Fleischman, E., "WAVE and AVI Codec Registries", RFC 2361, DOI 10.17487/RFC2361, June 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2361>>.
- [RFC3325] Jennings, C., Peterson, J., and M. Watson, "Private Extensions to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Asserted Identity within Trusted Networks", RFC 3325, DOI 10.17487/RFC3325, November 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3325>>.
- [RFC7340] Peterson, J., Schulzrinne, H., and H. Tschofenig, "Secure Telephone Identity Problem Statement and Requirements", RFC 7340, DOI 10.17487/RFC7340, September 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7340>>.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank David Hancock, Alec Fenichel, Paul Kyzivat, Yi Jing and other members of the SIPCORE and STIR working groups and ATIS/SIP Forum IPNNI for their helpful suggestions and comments during the creation of this document.

Authors' Addresses

Chris Wendt

Somos

United States of America

Email: chris@appliedbits.com

Jon Peterson

TransUnion

United States of America

Email: Jon.Peterson@transunion.com